P.01

MAY 1 1 2004

Practitioner's Docket No. MI22-1839

PATENT

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Farnworth et al, Warren M.

Application No.: 10/004,172

Group No.: 3729

Filed: 10/09/01

Examiner: A.D. Tugbang

For: Methods of Bonding Solder Balls to Bond Pads on a Substrate, and Bonding Frames

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

### CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the following papers are being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 872-9306 on the date shown below:

Examiner Interview Summary of April 22, 2004

Robin Saldivia

**TOTAL PAGES - 3** 

(Certification of Facsimile Transmission-Fax2.wpd-page 1 of 1)

P.02

MAY 1 1 2004

Applicati n Serial No. 10/004,172 Examiner Interview Summary of April 22, 2004

# **OFFICIAL**

MI22-1839

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No
Filing Date October 9, 2001
Inventor
Assignee Micron Technology Inc.
Group Art Unit
Examiner A.D. Tuobano
Attorney's Docket No MI22-1839
Title: Methods of Bonding Solder Balls to Bond Pads on a Substrate, and Bonding
Frames

# **EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF APRIL 22, 2004**

To:

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

From:

D. Brent Kenady

Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424

Wells St. John P.S.

601 West First Avenue, Suite 1300

Spokane, WA 99201-3828

Applicant's representative held an interview with Examiner Tugbang on April 22, 2004. Applicant's representative would like to thank Examiner Tugbang for his time and attention to this matter.

Applicant's representative pointed out that the pending Office Action was unclear as to whether withdrawn dependent claims 4, 5, 7, 9-11, 16, 17, and 21 would be rejoined with allowable independent claims 12 and 18. Applicant's

\$:\M122\1839\M07.wpd

Application Serial No. 10/004,172
Examiner Interview Summary of April 22, 2004

MAY-11-2004 16:31

MI22-1839

representative pointed out that since independent claims 12 and 18 are allowable, such independent claims are generic or linking as to the above-listed dependent claims. The Examiner agreed.

To put this case in form for allowance, the Examiner stated the following:

1) a terminal disclaimer must be filed; and 2) claims 45-46 must be cancelled as being claims directed to another invention, species II, of paper no. 7 which were not elected for prosecution. Upon canceling claims 45-46 and filing the terminal disclaimer, the Examiner stated dependent claims 4, 5, 7, 9-11, 16, 17, and 21 would be allowable as being rejoined with allowable independent claims 12 and 18.

Applicant's representative would like to again thank Examiner Tugbang for his time and attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 5-11-04

Rv

D. Brent Kenady Reg. No. 40,045